IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 3RD OCTOBER 2007 / 11TH ASWINA 1929

OP.No. 19702 of 1999(U)

PETITIONER:

V.V.RAMAKRISHNAN NAIR,
VENGINIKATT, KURICHITHANAM P.O.,
KOTTAYAM 684 634.

BY ADV. SRI.G.HARIHARAN
SMT.UMA

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE (VIGILANCE), DIRECTORATE OF VIGILANCE & ANTI CORRUPTION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

2. **SECRETARY, HIGHER EDUCATION DEPT. SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

3. **THE MANAGER, VANTHE' MADARAM HIGH SCHOOL, VELIYANNUR, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.SMITHA SUKUMARAN

THIS ORIGINAL PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 03/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

tss

OP. NO.19702/1999

ORDER ON CMP. NO.32450/1999 IN OP. NO.19702/1999

DISMISSED

03.10.2007 **SD/- THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN, JUDGE**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXT.P1:- COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DT. 20.4.98 SENT BY THE PETITIONER TO THE 1ST & 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXT.P2:- COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DT. 29.7.98 MADDE IN OP. 14237/98.

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

tss

THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN, J.

O.P.No.19702 OF 1999

Dated this the 3rd day of October, 2007

JUDGMENT

This writ petition is filed on the premise that certain enquiries by the Vigilance Department, in view of an earlier judgment of this Court, has resulted in a report, on which, appropriate action is not being taken.

2. A statement, to which there is no further objection, is on record on behalf of the official respondents. The confidential verification which followed the earlier judgment has been explicitly stated in the said statement dated 30.8.1999. Those statements are recorded. Going by that, I am satisfied that as stated by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Vigilance & Anti-Corruption Bureau, there is no case of misappropriation or misconduct and there is no financial impropriety disclosed. The Government have extended the benefit of the governmental aid to buildings which were constructed even before the cut off date

and therefore, have decided that such institutions are entitled to avail the benefit.

In the light of what is aforesaid, no directions are called for. The writ petition fails. The same is accordingly dismissed.

Sd/-

THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN,
Judge

kkb.

Page numbers

==========

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN, J

O.P.NO.19702 OF 1999

JUDGMENT

3rd OCTOBER, 2007.

==========